

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

In the office action, the Examiner rejected Claims 22-24 as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitations in the Claims. These Claims 22-24 are based on the independent Claim 21.

Therefore, in order to avoid this rejection, and to put it in condition for allowance, Claim 21 is being amended accordingly.

Therefore the amended claim should read:

21. **(currently amended)** In a cellular network system, an interface unit comprising a first interface functionally connected with at least one management ~~element~~ unit of the cellular network, a second interface functionally connected to the public Internet and adapted to provide authorized entities connected to the Internet access to one or more cellular network services.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

The Examiner has rejected Claims 21-24 as they were anticipated by Kotzin et al. in his patent application US 2004/0009774 A1. (**Kotzin**).

The Examiner is kindly requested to check the filing date of **Kotzin** which is: **Jul. 9, 2002**, while the priority date of the current application is: **Jul. 29, 2001**.

Therefore **35 USC § 102** should not mount a barrier to this patent application.


Therefore, all references to **Kotzin** should be ignored.

APPLICANT(S): Yuval Barkan
SERIAL NO.: 10/765,936
FILED: January 29, 2004

Page 5

In view of the foregoing remarks, all pending claims 21 through 24 should be considered allowable. Their allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Yuval Barkan

12 Habanin Street,

Kefar Sirkin 49935,

ISRAEL

Email: moti@barkan.org

Fax: +(972)- 3- 933-2284